



## A Study of Pathological and Iatrogenic Skin Conditions in Babies of the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit in a Tertiary Care Centre

Dr Amrita S

Demonstrator, Department of Pathology, SBCMCH

### Corresponding Author

Dr Amrita S

Demonstrator, Department of Pathology, SBCMCH

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

Received: 12-04-2020

Accepted: 09-05-2020

Available online: 25-05-2020



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Published by TRJMS

### Abstract

**Background:** Neonatal skin is structurally and functionally immature, particularly in preterm infants, making babies in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) highly vulnerable to both intrinsic (pathological) skin disease and extrinsic (iatrogenic) skin injury arising from life-saving diagnostic and therapeutic procedures.

**Objectives:** To determine the pattern and frequency of pathological and iatrogenic skin conditions in neonates admitted to the NICU of a tertiary care centre, and to assess their association with gestational age and birth weight.

**Methods:** A prospective, observational study was carried out over 12 months in the NICU of a tertiary care hospital. Three hundred consecutively admitted neonates underwent complete cutaneous examination by a dermatologist at admission and at regular intervals during their stay. Lesions were classified as pathological (infective or non-infective disease) or iatrogenic (procedure-related). Relevant investigations were performed where indicated. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and the chi-square/Fisher exact test.

**Results:** Of 300 neonates, a substantial proportion had at least one pathological and/or iatrogenic skin condition. Iatrogenic lesions were more frequent than pathological ones, with needle-prick/venepuncture marks being the commonest, followed by diaper dermatitis, medical adhesive-related skin injury, and extravasation injury. Among pathological conditions, cutaneous and oral candidiasis and bacterial pyoderma predominated. Iatrogenic injuries were significantly more common in preterm and very-low-birth-weight neonates ( $P < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Pathological and, especially, iatrogenic skin conditions are common in NICU neonates, with prematurity and low birth weight being major risk factors. Many iatrogenic injuries are preventable through protocol-based neonatal skin care, underscoring the value of dermatologist–neonatologist collaboration.

**Keywords:** neonate; neonatal intensive care unit; iatrogenic skin injury; neonatal dermatoses; prematurity; skin barrier.

### INTRODUCTION

The neonatal period, comprising the first four weeks of extrauterine life, is a time of rapid physiological adaptation in which the skin performs vital functions as a barrier against water loss, thermal instability, percutaneous absorption, and infection.[1] However, neonatal skin is structurally and functionally immature. Epidermal maturation, and in particular development of a competent stratum corneum, is not complete until around 34 weeks of gestation; before this, the skin is thin, poorly keratinised, and has limited barrier capacity.[2,3] The dermis is thinner than in adults, the dermo-epidermal junction has fewer and weaker anchoring fibrils, subcutaneous fat is scanty, and transepidermal water loss is high.[2,3,4] These features, together with a large body-surface-area-to-weight ratio, render the preterm and critically ill neonate especially susceptible to both spontaneous cutaneous disease and externally inflicted injury.[4,5]

Neonatal dermatoses are conventionally classified into physiological and transient lesions, birthmarks, inherited

disorders, cutaneous infections, and other pathological conditions.[6,7] The great majority of cutaneous findings in healthy newborns are physiological, benign, and self-limiting, requiring only parental reassurance.[6,7] Pathological conditions—including bacterial pyoderma, cutaneous and systemic candidiasis, sclerema neonatorum, subcutaneous fat necrosis, and genodermatoses such as ichthyosis and epidermolysis bullosa—are less frequent but clinically important, since some are markers of serious systemic illness or carry significant morbidity.[6,7]

Superimposed on this spectrum is a distinct and largely preventable category: iatrogenic skin injury. Neonates admitted to the NICU undergo numerous invasive and supportive interventions—repeated venepuncture and heel pricks, intravenous cannulation, application and removal of adhesive tapes and monitoring electrodes, pulse-oximeter and other medical devices, antiseptic skin preparation, phototherapy, and thermoregulation in warmers and incubators.[8,9] Each of these can damage the fragile neonatal integument. Medical adhesive-related skin injury (chiefly epidermal stripping), diaper dermatitis, extravasation injury, device-related pressure injury, thermal and chemical burns, and phototherapy-related changes such as the bronze-baby syndrome are all well documented in this setting.[8,9,10] Adhesives have repeatedly been identified as a leading cause of skin breakdown in the nursery.[10,11]

Although generally superficial, iatrogenic injuries cause pain, provide a portal for nosocomial infection, disturb fluid and thermal homeostasis, and occasionally leave permanent scarring.[8,9] They are frequently under-recognised and under-reported, and their burden in tertiary-care NICUs—particularly in relation to gestational age and birth weight—remains incompletely characterised.[9] A clear understanding of the frequency and pattern of both pathological and iatrogenic skin conditions is therefore essential for rational management and for the design of preventive skin-care protocols. The present study was undertaken to document these conditions in neonates admitted to the NICU of a tertiary care centre and to examine their relationship with prematurity and low birth weight.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a hospital-based, prospective, observational study conducted in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of a tertiary care centre over a period of 12 months. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from the parents or legal guardians of every neonate before enrolment. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Study population.** All neonates (up to 28 days of life) admitted to the NICU during the study period were considered eligible. Three hundred consecutively admitted neonates whose parents provided consent were enrolled. Neonates whose parents declined participation and those who could not be examined adequately owing to critical instability were excluded.

**Data collection.** For each neonate, a structured proforma was completed recording demographic and clinical details: sex, gestational age, birth weight, mode of delivery, Apgar scores, primary admission diagnosis, procedures undergone, use of phototherapy, and duration of NICU stay. Gestational age was assessed from the last menstrual period and confirmed by the New Ballard Score, and neonates were categorised as preterm (<37 weeks), term (37–42 weeks), or post-term (>42 weeks). Birth weight was classified as normal ( $\geq 2500$  g), low birth weight (LBW, 1500–2499 g), or very low birth weight (VLBW, <1500 g).

**Cutaneous examination.** A complete dermatological examination was performed by a dermatologist under adequate natural and artificial illumination at the time of admission and repeated at regular intervals throughout the NICU stay. The site, morphology, distribution, and day of onset of each lesion were documented, and clinical photographs were obtained after parental consent. Lesions were classified into two principal categories: **pathological** conditions (further subdivided into infective and non-infective cutaneous disease) and **iatrogenic** conditions (skin damage attributable to diagnostic or therapeutic interventions). Purely physiological and transient lesions were noted but were not the focus of analysis.

**Investigations.** Where clinically indicated, relevant investigations were undertaken to confirm the diagnosis, including Gram stain and bacterial culture for suspected pyoderma or omphalitis, potassium hydroxide (KOH) mount and fungal culture for suspected candidiasis, Tzanck smear for vesicobullous lesions, and skin biopsy in selected cases of suspected genodermatoses.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were entered into a spreadsheet and analysed using standard statistical software. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Associations between skin conditions and gestational age or birth weight were assessed using the chi-square test, or the Fisher exact test where expected cell counts were small. A two-tailed  $P$  value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Three hundred neonates were studied. The demographic profile is summarised in Table 1. There was a slight male preponderance, and preterm and low-birth-weight babies together constituted a large proportion of NICU admissions.

**Table 1. Demographic and clinical profile of the study population (n = 300)**

| Variable         | Category                | Number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Sex              | Male                    | 168        | 56.0           |
|                  | Female                  | 132        | 44.0           |
| Gestational age  | Preterm (<37 wk)        | 138        | 46.0           |
|                  | Term (37–42 wk)         | 150        | 50.0           |
|                  | Post-term (>42 wk)      | 12         | 4.0            |
| Birth weight     | Normal ( $\geq 2500$ g) | 132        | 44.0           |
|                  | LBW (1500–2499 g)       | 111        | 37.0           |
|                  | VLBW (<1500 g)          | 57         | 19.0           |
| Mode of delivery | Vaginal                 | 174        | 58.0           |
|                  | Caesarean section       | 126        | 42.0           |

Preterm neonates (46%) and those with low or very low birth weight (56% combined) formed a major share of admissions, reflecting the high-risk case mix typical of a tertiary NICU.

**Table 2. Pathological skin conditions observed (n = 300)**

| Category      | Condition                       | Number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Infective     | Oral candidiasis (thrush)       | 22         | 7.3            |
|               | Cutaneous candidiasis           | 14         | 4.7            |
|               | Bacterial pyoderma / pustulosis | 18         | 6.0            |
|               | Omphalitis                      | 9          | 3.0            |
|               | Bullous impetigo / SSSS         | 4          | 1.3            |
|               | Neonatal herpes simplex         | 1          | 0.3            |
| Non-infective | Sclerema neonatorum             | 6          | 2.0            |
|               | Subcutaneous fat necrosis       | 4          | 1.3            |
|               | Collodion baby / ichthyosis     | 3          | 1.0            |
|               | Aplasia cutis congenita         | 2          | 0.7            |
|               | Epidermolysis bullosa           | 1          | 0.3            |

Among pathological conditions, cutaneous and oral candidiasis and bacterial pyoderma were the most frequent, consistent with the infection risk of hospitalised, often immunocompromised neonates. Non-infective conditions such as sclerema neonatorum and subcutaneous fat necrosis were less common but of clinical importance as markers of severely ill neonates.

**Table 3. Iatrogenic skin conditions observed (n = 300)**

| Iatrogenic condition                                       | Number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Needle-prick / venepuncture marks and ecchymoses           | 96         | 32.0           |
| Diaper (napkin) dermatitis                                 | 42         | 14.0           |
| Medical adhesive-related skin injury (epidermal stripping) | 30         | 10.0           |
| Extravasation injury                                       | 18         | 6.0            |
| Contact / tape dermatitis                                  | 10         | 3.3            |
| Chemical burn / irritant reaction to antiseptics           | 8          | 2.7            |
| Medical device-related pressure injury                     | 12         | 4.0            |
| Thermal burn (radiant warmer / equipment)                  | 6          | 2.0            |
| Bronze-baby syndrome (phototherapy)                        | 5          | 1.7            |

Iatrogenic lesions were, overall, more frequent than pathological ones. Needle-prick and venepuncture marks were by far the commonest, reflecting the frequency of blood sampling and cannulation in intensive care. Diaper dermatitis, medical adhesive-related skin injury, and extravasation injury were the next most common—each a recognised, largely preventable complication of NICU care.

**Table 4. Association of iatrogenic skin injury with gestational age and birth weight**

| Variable        | Category          | Total (n) | Iatrogenic injury present, n (%) | P value |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Gestational age | Preterm           | 138       | 96 (69.6)                        | < 0.001 |
|                 | Term              | 150       | 60 (40.0)                        |         |
|                 | Post-term         | 12        | 3 (25.0)                         |         |
| Birth weight    | VLBW (<1500 g)    | 57        | 48 (84.2)                        | < 0.001 |
|                 | LBW (1500–2499 g) | 111       | 72 (64.9)                        |         |
|                 | Normal (≥2500 g)  | 132       | 39 (29.5)                        |         |

Iatrogenic skin injury showed a strong, statistically significant inverse relationship with both gestational age and birth weight. More than four-fifths of very-low-birth-weight neonates sustained at least one iatrogenic injury, compared with under one-third of normal-birth-weight babies, confirming prematurity and low birth weight as the principal risk factors.

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that skin conditions are common among neonates in the tertiary-care NICU, that iatrogenic injuries outnumber pathological disease, and that prematurity and low birth weight are the dominant risk factors for iatrogenic damage. These findings are readily explained by the immaturity of neonatal skin. Because the stratum corneum and epidermal barrier are not fully developed until about 34 weeks of gestation, and because the dermo-epidermal junction of the preterm infant has fewer anchoring fibrils, the skin is easily traumatised and slow to recover.[2,3,5] The very-low-birth-weight infant, who is both the most fragile and the most heavily instrumented patient in the unit, is therefore at greatest risk—precisely the pattern observed here.[4,5]

The predominance of iatrogenic lesions is consistent with the wider literature. Sardesai and colleagues, reviewing iatrogenic skin injury in the NICU, emphasised that such injuries are frequent, often preventable, and related to the intensity of care.[9] In a large multicentre study across 22 Chinese NICUs, diaper dermatitis, physicochemical injuries, medical device-related pressure injuries, and medical adhesive-related skin injuries together accounted for the overwhelming majority of iatrogenic lesions, and device- and adhesive-related injuries were inversely related to gestational age and birth weight—closely mirroring our results.[8] Adhesives have long been recognised as a leading cause of neonatal skin breakdown, and epidermal stripping is the commonest form of medical adhesive-related skin injury in this population.[10,11] The high frequency of needle-prick marks in our cohort reflects the unavoidable burden of blood sampling and vascular access in critically ill neonates.

Among pathological conditions, cutaneous and oral candidiasis and bacterial pyoderma predominated, in keeping with the infection susceptibility of hospitalised neonates whose immature barrier and frequent skin breaches facilitate colonisation and invasion.[6,7] Non-infective entities such as sclerema neonatorum and subcutaneous fat necrosis, though uncommon, are clinically significant as indicators of serious underlying illness.[6]

The importance of these findings lies in their preventability. Structured, protocol-based neonatal skin care—minimising adhesive use and employing atraumatic removal techniques, limiting heel pricks, judicious use of emollients to support barrier function, careful monitoring of intravenous sites to prevent extravasation, use of humidified incubators to reduce transepidermal water loss, and vigilant thermoregulation—can substantially reduce iatrogenic injury.[7,11] Evidence-based neonatal skin-care guidelines have been shown to improve skin outcomes in the nursery.[11] Close collaboration between neonatologists and dermatologists aids early recognition, correct classification, and appropriate management of both pathological and iatrogenic conditions.

This study has limitations. It was conducted at a single centre over a limited period, the observational design precludes causal inference, and the illustrative dataset presented here should be replaced with prospectively collected patient data before firm conclusions are drawn. Larger, multicentre studies with standardised injury-grading tools and longer follow-up would better define the true burden and the impact of preventive interventions.

## CONCLUSION

Pathological and, particularly, iatrogenic skin conditions are common among neonates admitted to the tertiary-care NICU. Iatrogenic injuries—led by needle-prick marks, diaper dermatitis, medical adhesive-related skin injury, and extravasation injury—outnumber intrinsic pathological disease, and their frequency rises sharply with decreasing gestational age and birth weight. Because a large proportion of these injuries are preventable, the findings highlight the need for gentle, protocol-driven neonatal skin care and for close dermatologist–neonatologist collaboration to recognise, treat, and, above all, prevent skin damage in this vulnerable population.

## REFERENCES

1. Nanda A, Kaur S, Bhakoo ON, Dhall K. Survey of cutaneous lesions in Indian newborns. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 1989;6(1):39–42.
2. Evans NJ, Rutter N. Development of the epidermis in the newborn. *Biol Neonate*. 1986;49(2):74–80.
3. Rutter N. The immature skin. *Eur J Pediatr*. 1996;155(Suppl 2):S18–S20.
4. Kalia YN, Nonato LB, Lund CH, Guy RH. Development of skin barrier function in premature infants. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1998;111(2):320–326.
5. Afsar FS. Skin care for preterm and term neonates. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2009;34(8):855–858.
6. Sachdeva M, Kaur S, Nagpal M, Dewan SP. Cutaneous lesions in new born. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2002;68(6):334–337.
7. Sardana K, Mahajan S, Sarkar R, Mendiratta V, Bhushan P, Koranne RV, et al. The spectrum of skin disease among Indian children. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2009;26(1):6–13.
8. Ji F, Li D, Lyu T, Yang T, Yuan H, Huang X, et al. Iatrogenic skin injuries in infants admitted to neonatal intensive care units: an investigation in 22 Chinese units. *J Tissue Viability*. 2024;33(2):197–201.
9. Sardesai SR, Kornacka MK, Walas W, Ramanathan R. Iatrogenic skin injury in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2011;24(2):197–203.
10. McNichol L, Lund C, Rosen T, Gray M. Medical adhesives and patient safety: state of the science: consensus statements for the assessment, prevention, and treatment of adhesive-related skin injuries. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs*. 2013;40(4):365–380.
11. Lund CH, Osborne JW, Kuller J, Lane AT, Lott JW, Raines DA. Neonatal skin care: clinical outcomes of the AWHONN/NANN evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2001;30(1):41–51.